



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/754,860	01/04/2001	Robert S. Mason JR.	EMS-01401	3989

26339 7590 01/09/2003

PATENT GROUP  
CHOATE, HALL & STEWART  
EXCHANGE PLACE, 53 STATE STREET  
BOSTON, MA 02109

EXAMINER

MCLEAN MAYO, KIMBERLY N

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2187

DATE MAILED: 01/09/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/754,860	<b>Applicant(s)</b> MASON ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Kimberly N. McLean-Mayo	<b>Art Unit</b> 2187	

**-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 October 2002.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-31 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
     Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  
     If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120**

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
     a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:  
         1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
         2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
         3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  
     \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  
     a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

**Attachment(s)**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____  |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)         | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                                    |

**DETAILED ACTION**

1. The enclosed detailed action is in response to the Amendment submitted on October 9, 2002.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in-

- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

3. Claims 1-2 and 8-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yamamoto et al. (USPN: 6,408,370).

Regarding claim 1, Yamamoto discloses a data storage system comprising a first disk drive unit (Figure 1, References 109 and 105); a second disk drive unit, coupled to the first disk drive by a bus (Figure 1, References 104 and 105); a main cache memory, coupled to the bus, that caches data from at least one of the first disk drive unit and the second disk drive unit (Figure 1, Reference 108 within Reference 104); a secondary memory (comprised of References 107,108), provided as part of the first disk drive unit, wherein the secondary memory has at least two sections, a first section used by the first disk drive unit to facilitate disk accesses (Figure 1,

Art Unit: 2187

Reference 107; control memory; C 4, L 60-61, L 14-59) and a second section used to cache data from the second disk drive unit (Figure 1, Reference 108; C 5, L 28-36, L 53-55).

Regarding claim 2, Yamamoto discloses an interface that communicates data to and from the disk drive unit (inherent); a disk platter that stores data (Figure 1, Reference 105); and a controller coupled to the interface and the disk platter (Figure 1, Reference 109), the controller providing and accepting data signals that control the disk drive unit and communicate data therewith, wherein the controller includes a memory (Figure 1, comprised of References 107 and 108) having a portion that is useable as cache for data that is not stored on the disk platter (Figure 1, Reference 108; C 5, L 28-36, L 53-55).

Regarding claim 8, Yamamoto discloses a first disk drive including a section of onboard memory (Figure 1, onboard memory comprised of References 108 and 107 within Reference 109) associated with the first disk drive (Figure 1, first disk drive comprises References 105 and 109); a second disk drive that provides data to the first disk (Figure 1, References 104 and 105; C 5, L 28-30); memory for caching data of the data storage system (Figure 1, memory is comprised of References 108 and 107 within Reference 109 and Reference 108 within Reference 104), the memory including the section of onboard memory associated with the first disk drive wherein the section includes a portion of data cached from at least the second disk drive (C 5, L 28-36, L 53-55).

Art Unit: 2187

Regarding claim 9, Yamamoto discloses the onboard memory including a portion of data that is not duplicated elsewhere in the data storage system (Figure 1, Reference 107).

Regarding claim 10, Yamamoto discloses the onboard memory including a portion of data that is duplicated elsewhere in the data storage system (Figure 1, Reference 108).

Regarding claim 11 and 18, Yamamoto discloses the memory for caching including a portion of system memory of the data storage system (inherent – the data storage system is an extension of the system memory and thus data caching for the data storage system, inherent caches data of the system memory).

Regarding claim 12, Yamamoto discloses a command generator (comprised or processing unit 100 and unit 140 in Figure 1) that generates at least one command for performing a data operation in connection with caching data of the system memory and at least one command for performing a data operation in connection with caching data of the section of onboard memory (the processing unit stores data in the system memory, 102, when data is retrieved from 105; and unit 140 stores data in Reference 108; C 5, L 28-30).

Regarding claim 13, Yamamoto discloses a first command generator (Figure 1, Reference 104 – control logic/software within Reference 104 which operates cache, Reference 108) that generates at least one command for performing a data operation in connection with caching data of the system memory (C 5, L 9-21; data to be written to the data storage system is stored in cache 108,

Art Unit: 2187

the data storage system is an extension of the system memory and thus the cache caches data of the system memory); and a second command generator (Figure 1, Reference 109 - control logic/software within Reference 109 which operates cache, Reference 108) different from the first command generator that generates at least one command for performing a data operation in connection with caching data of the section of onboard memory (C 5, L 28-30).

Regarding claim 14, Yamamoto discloses a command generator (Figure 1, Reference 109 0 control logic/software within Reference 109 which operates cache Reference 108) that generates at least one command for performing a data operation in connection with data caching of the section of onboard memory (C 5, L 28-30).

Regarding claims 15-17, Yamamoto discloses a host interface unit that includes the command generator (Figure 1, logic within Reference 104 which couples to the host processor(s)), wherein the command generator executes on a dedicated processor (the controller, which comprises the command generator, is a dedicated specialized processor and thus the command generator executes on a dedicated processor), the host interface unit being connected to a host computer (the controller is coupled to the host(s) via channel 103); a disk interface unit for interfacing with the first disk drive (Figure 1, logic within Reference 104 which interfaces to disk drive 105).

Regarding claim 19, Yamamoto discloses a command interpreter that interprets commands in connection with a data caching operation of at least one of the section of onboard memory and the system cache memory (Figure 1, Reference 109).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 3-7 and 20-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamamoto (USPN: 6,408,370).

Regarding claim 3, Yamamoto discloses an onboard memory (Figure 1, comprised of References 107 and 108); wherein a section of the onboard memory associated with the data storage device is used as a cache including data cached from at least one other data storage device (Figure 1, Reference 108; C 5, L 28-36, L 53-55). Yamamoto does not disclose the onboard memory as a volatile memory. The onboard memory in Yamamoto's system is a nonvolatile memory. It is well known in the art to use volatile memory to store data. It is also well known in the art the nonvolatile memory requires data to be erased before overwritten which increases latency, whereas volatile memory can be overwritten without first erasing. Hence, one of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized the benefits afforded by a volatile memory such as reduced latency and would have been motivated to use a volatile memory in Yamamoto's system for the desirable purpose of decreased latency.

Art Unit: 2187

Regarding claims 4-5, Yamamoto discloses the data storage device as a first disk drive unit (Figure 1, Reference 109 and 105) and the section of onboard volatile memory includes data cached from at least a second disk drive unit (C 5, L 28-36, L 53-55).

Regarding claims 6-7, Yamamoto discloses an interface that provides and accepts data and a disk platter that stores data (Figure 1, Reference 105); and a controller that handles communication between the interface and the disk platter, wherein the onboard volatile memory is part of the controller (Figure 1, Reference 109).

Regarding claims 20 and 26, Yamamoto discloses obtaining data from a first disk drive unit (Figure 1, References 104 and 105) and storing at least a portion of the data on memory (Figure 1, comprised of References 107 and 108) that is part of a second disk drive unit (Figure 1, References 109 and 105) different from the first disk drive unit (Figure 1, Reference 108; C 5, L 28-36, L 53-55). Yamamoto does not disclose the memory as a volatile memory. However, it is well known in the art use volatile memory to store data. It is also well known in the art the nonvolatile memory requires data to be erased before overwritten which increases latency, whereas volatile memory can be overwritten without first erasing. Hence, one of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized the benefits afforded by a volatile memory such as reduced latency and would have been motivated to use a volatile memory in Yamamoto's system for the desirable purpose of decreased latency.

Art Unit: 2187

Additionally, with respect to claim 26, hardware is controlled by software (machine executable code) and thus it is evident that machine executable code is present to implement the above features.

Regarding claims 21, 24-25, 27 and 30-31, Yamamoto discloses storing a second portion of the data from the first disk drive unit in system memory (Figure 1, Reference 102) associated with a data storage device (Figure 1) that includes the first disk drive unit and the second disk drive unit (when data is retrieved from the first disk drive unit by the processing unit 100).

Regarding claims 22 and 28, Yamamoto discloses issuing commands from a command generator (comprised or processing unit 100 and unit 140 in Figure 1) for storing the second portion of data in the system memory and storing the first portion of data in the memory of the second disk drive unit (the processing unit stores data in the system memory, 102, when data is retrieved from 105; and unit 140 stores data in Reference 108; C 5, L 28-30).

Regarding claims 23 and 29, issuing commands from a first command generator (Figure 1, Reference 100) for storing the second portion of data in the system memory (the processing unit stores data in the system memory, 102, when data is retrieved from 105); and issuing commands from a second command generator (Figure 1, Reference 140) different from the first command generator for storing the first portion of data in the memory of the second disk (unit 140 stores data in Reference 108; C 5, L 28-30).

***Response to Arguments***

6. Applicant's arguments filed October 9, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding Applicant's argument that Yamamoto does not disclose nor suggests any memory of one drive unit being used to cache data for another drive unit, the Examiner disagrees.

Yamamoto discloses a memory, comprised of References 107 and 108, of a first disk drive, comprised of References 105 and 109, wherein the memory has a section, Reference 108 which caches data from the second drive unit, comprised of References 105 and 104. Data from the second drive unit is stored in the cache of the first drive unit and thus the cache within the first drive unit caches data from the second drive unit.

Regarding Applicant's argument that the cache 108 is not used as a cache for the primary controller, rather the cache is used as a cache when performing writes to a disk connected to the secondary controller, not the primary controller, it is not clear what relevance this argument has with respect to the claim limitations. The claim language in claim 1 states, "...a second section used to cache data from the second disk drive unit..". Yamamoto as stated above teaches this feature and thus the arguments presented by the Applicant are incommensurate with the claim language.

***Conclusion***

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Dekoning et al. – USPN: 6,381,674 – centralized caching.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kimberly N. McLean-Mayo whose telephone number is 703-308-9592. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:00 - 6:30) First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Do Yoo can be reached on 703-308-4908. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-7329 for regular communications and 703-746-7240 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-2100.



KNM

Kimberly N. McLean-Mayo  
Examiner  
Art Unit 2187

December 20, 2002